

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/033,527	12/27/2001	Raymond L. Houghton	210121.513C1	7914	
500 SEED INTELI	7590 12/28/2006 LECTUAL PROPERTY	EXAMINER			
701 FIFTH AVE SUITE 5400 SEATTLE, WA 98104			WILDER, CYNTHIA B		
			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1637		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/28/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/033,527	HOUGHTON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Cynthia B. Wilder, Ph.D.	1637		

	Cynthia B. Wilder, Ph.D.	1637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.	•	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		ET INOT INELET WAS T	·
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			·
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s). They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	••	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		·	,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>37,40,41 and 44-46</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attachment to advisory action. 		n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		Cynthia B. Wilder, Patent Examiner	Ph.D.

Art Unit: 1637

Attachment to Advisory Action

1. Applicant's amendment filed on 12/4/2006 is acknowledged and will be entered. All of the arguments have been thoroughly reviewed and considered but are not found persuasive for the reasons that follow.

Applicant's Traversal and Examiner's Response

Issue: Claims 37, 40-41 and 44-46 are rejected under 35 USC 112 first paragraph as lacking enablement.

2. In response to Applicant's arguments that the specification clearly describes that the recited sequences of SEQ ID NOS: 7 and 75 are overexpressed in breast tumor tissues as compared to normal breast, the Examiner respectfully disagree because the specification does not make any specific reference to SEQ ID NOS: 7 and 75 as indicative of overexpression in breast tumor tissue. The only fact Applicant has asserted with respect to SEQ ID NOS: 7 and 75 is that these sequences are associated with the B305D isoforms A and C and GABA π (see page 40 of the specification). No further explanation is provided in the specification regarding SEQ ID NOS: 7 and 75. Likewise, Applicant's cited support at page 41 does not provide any direct evidence to support the instant invention as claimed. The cited support merely states that microarray analysis reveals "several candidates were chosen on the basis of favorable tissue specificity profile, including B305D, B311D, B726P, B511S and B522S indicating their overpexpression profiles in breast tumors and/or normal breast versus other normal tissues". No direct reference is made to the actual sequences that are claimed to be indicative of overexpression, such as e.g., SEQ ID NO: 7 or 75. Likewise no statistical data or p-values are provided. Applicant merely identifies the gene by name and do not identify which isoform of the gene or sequences associated therewith is indicative of an overexpression of breast tumor. Additionally, the level of expression of SEQ ID NO: 7 and 75 in cancer cells versus normal cells is not provided. No comparative levels of expression of SEQ ID NOS: 7 and 75 in cancerous cells versus normal cells as being indicative of tumorogenesis is given.

- 3. In response to Applicant's arguments that the recited sequences of SEQ ID NOS: 7 and 75 are clearly demonstrated in Figure 7 as being at least two-fold higher in breast tumor tissues as compared to normal breast tissues and are not expressed in the majority of other normal tissues, it is noted that the SEQ ID NOS: 7 and 75 are not referenced in the Figure 7, rather the Figure 7 demonstrates mRNA expression levels for "lipophillinB + GABA + B305D + B726P". There is no indication from the Figure 7 that the expression levels of mRNA are indicative of overexpression of breast tumor tissues based on the presence of SEQ ID NOS: 7 and 75.
- 4. In response to Applicant's arguments that the page 48 provides additional support that the expression of B305D is highly overexpressed in numerous breast tumor tissue types; while the Examiner agrees that the page 48 of the specification states that the B305D gene is highly overexpressed in various types of breast tumor tissues, the examiner maintains that no direct reference is made to either SEQ ID NO: 7 or 75 as claimed. Likewise, to reiterate the specification teaches that SEQ ID NOS: 1, 3 and 5-7 are associated with the B305D isoforms and the SEQ ID NOS: 73-75 are associated with GABA. Therefore, the relevance of SEQ ID NOS: 7 and 75 and the cited support is not clear because B305D is not limited to SEQ ID NO: 7 or 75. These sequences are also not limited to the expression level of "lipophillinB + GABA + B305D"

Application/Control Number: 10/033,527

Art Unit: 1637

paragraph.

+ B726P" as demonstrated in the Figure 7. While the Examiner further acknowledges Applicant's citation of literature demonstrating tumor overexpression in tissues as being indicative of a diagnosis of a disease, it is noted that Applicant's specification does not support the claims as currently written. As noted in the prior Office action, there is no evidence of record or suggested in the art that the utilization of the SEQ ID NO: 7 and 75 would be helpful in the diagnosis of cancers or breast cancers. Many proteins are highly expressed in normal tissues and diseases tissues. Therefore, one needs to know, e.g., that the claimed polynucleotides are either present only in cancer tissue to the exclusion of normal tissues or is expressed in significantly higher levels in diseased tissues compared to normal tissues (overexpression). Applicant's arguments are not sufficient to overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112 first

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia B. Wilder, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0791. The examiner can normally be reached on a flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (571) 272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/033,527

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

cbw

KENNETH R. HORLICK, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINED

Page 5

12/26/06.